

UNITED STACES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/835,105	04/04/97	SCHULZE		E	2937-9	
DAVID F. ZINGER 1700 LINCOLN STREET SUITE 3500		LM01/0328	. –	EXAMINER HAYES, G		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	\neg
DENVER CO 8	0203			2766		
				DATE MAILED:	03/28/00	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/835,015 Applicant(s)

SCHULZE, JR.

Advisory Action

Examiner

Group Art Unit Gail Hayes

2766



TH	IE PERI	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) 💢	expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.						
	date or determ	extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ulated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	Appel period	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any large for the second of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any large for the second of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any large for the second of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any large for the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any la					
		t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>13 Mar 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
X	The p	roposed amendment(s):					
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.						
	Xw	ill not be entered because:					
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).					
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NO	TE: The major amendments to independent claims 1 and 22 significantly change the scope of the claims.					
	□ A ₁	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
		y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
X	for al	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Seguments are directed to the new claim language which has not been entered.					
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.					
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Claim	ns allowed: None					
	Claim	ns objected to: None					
	Claim	ns rejected: 1-7, 9-22, and 24-28					
	The p	proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.					
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)					
	Othe	Loul Huys					
		GAIL HAYES					

PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 2766**